

High-Order PDE Solvers Across Scales in Computational Fluid Dynamics

Valeria Barra, Ph.D.

Assistant Professor,

Computational Science Research Center + Math Department, SDSU



valeriabarra.org



vbarra@sdsu.edu

UCI Fluids Seminar - Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

May 20th, 2026



Overview

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Interfacial flows
- 3 libCEED
- 4 CliMA

ClimaCore.jl

Examples for Climate Applications

- 5 Recent and Future Projects
- 6 Conclusions

About me

- From Siena, Tuscany, Italy
- B.S. and M.Sc. in Mathematical Sciences at the University of Siena
- Exchange program + Ph.D. program in Applied Math at NJIT, Newark, NJ
- Postdoc at the University of Colorado at Boulder in the ECP CEED project
- Research Software Engineer (3+ years) at Caltech in the CliMA project




University of Colorado
Boulder


Caltech

PhD research: Viscoelastic fluids

PhD in Applied Math from NJIT on numerical simulations of thin films (long-waves) of non-Newtonian viscoelastic fluids



INKJET PRINTING



Viscoelastic materials:

- hysteresis:
loop in stress-strain rate curve
- stress relaxation:
constant $\epsilon \Rightarrow$ decreasing σ
- creep:
constant $\sigma \Rightarrow$ increasing ϵ

Mechanical system analogs

- Hookean: elastic solids

$$\sigma_{ij} = 2G\epsilon_{ij}$$

G shear elastic modulus

- Newtonian: viscous fluids

$$\sigma_{ij} = 2\eta\dot{\epsilon}_{ij}$$

η dynamic (shear) viscosity




- Kelvin-Voigt: linear viscoelastic solids

$$\sigma_{ij} = 2G\epsilon_{ij} + 2\eta\dot{\epsilon}_{ij}$$

- Maxwell: linear viscoelastic fluids

$$\sigma_{ij} + \lambda_1\partial_t\sigma_{ij} = 2\eta\dot{\epsilon}_{ij}$$

λ_1 relaxation time, s. t. $\lambda_1 = \eta/G$.




Mechanical system analog for Jeffreys

Jeffreys Model: linear viscoelastic fluids

$$\sigma_{ij} + \lambda_1 \partial_t \sigma_{ij} = 2\eta (\dot{\epsilon}_{ij} + \lambda_2 \partial_t \dot{\epsilon}_{ij}) ,$$

with $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1 \frac{\eta_s}{\eta_s + \eta_p}$, and $\eta = \eta_s + \eta_p \Rightarrow \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$. With η_s and η_p viscosity of Newtonian solvent and polymeric solute, respectively.

λ_1 relaxation time, λ_2 retardation time.



Governing equations

Conservation laws for incompressible fluids:

$$\rho(\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) = -\nabla(\rho + \Pi) + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \mathbf{F}_b, \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (2)$$


where, in $2D$, $\mathbf{u} = (u(x, y, t), v(x, y, t))$, is the vector velocity field, $\nabla = (\partial_x, \partial_y)$, ρ is the pressure, Π is the disjoining pressure due to the van-der-Waals interaction (attraction/repulsion) force, and $\mathbf{F}_b = (\rho g \sin \alpha, -\rho g \cos \alpha)$ body force.

Jeffreys' model:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} + \lambda_1 \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma} = 2\eta(\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} + \lambda_2 \partial_t \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}})$$

Schematic and Nondimensionalization

Setup and boundary conditions of the two-phase interfacial flow:



Schematic of the fluid interface and boundary conditions in the case in which $\mathbf{F}_b = 0$.

Kinematic BC: $Df/Dt = \mathbf{f}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla f = 0$, with $f(x, y, t) = y - h(x, t)$.

Scalings:

$$x = Lx^*, \quad (y, h, h_*, b) = H(y^*, h^*, h_*^*, b^*),$$

$$(p, \Pi) = P(p^*, \Pi^*), \quad u = Vu^*, \quad v = \varepsilon Vv^*,$$

$$(t, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = T(t^*, \lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*), \quad \gamma = \frac{V\eta}{\varepsilon^3} \gamma^*,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\eta}{T} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11}^* & \frac{\sigma_{12}^*}{\varepsilon} \\ \frac{\sigma_{21}^*}{\varepsilon} & \sigma_{22}^* \end{pmatrix},$$

where $H/L = \varepsilon \ll 1$ is the small parameter. Pressure is scaled with $P = \eta/(T\varepsilon^2)$, and time with $T = L/V$.

Dimensionless governing equations

Long-wave approximation in two spatial dimensions:

$$(1 + \lambda_2 \partial_t) h_t + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \left(\frac{h^2}{2} Q - hR \right) h_t + \left[(1 + \lambda_1 \partial_t) \frac{h^3}{3} + (1 + \lambda_2 \partial_t) b h^2 \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2} + \Pi(h) \right) \right\} = 0,$$

$$Q + \lambda_2 Q_t = - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2} + \Pi(h) \right),$$


$$R + \lambda_2 R_t = - h \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2} + \Pi(h) \right).$$

disjoining pressure: $\Pi(h) = \frac{\gamma(1-\cos\theta_e)}{Mh_*} \left[\left(\frac{h_*}{h} \right)^n - \left(\frac{h_*}{h} \right)^m \right]$,
 θ_e contact angle, $M = 0.5$, ($n = 3, m = 2$), h_* precursor film thickness.

Jeffreys' constitutive law:

$$\sigma + \lambda_1 \partial_t \sigma = 2\eta(\dot{\epsilon} + \lambda_2 \partial_t \dot{\epsilon})$$

Dewetting film



A viscoelastic dewetting film exhibits secondary satellite droplets in the dewetting region that viscous films do not exhibit.

Verification and Consistency: persistent under mesh refinement.


Validation: Similar droplet formations observed in viscoelastic jets undergoing capillary thinning experimental in [Clasen2006].

Numerical Instabilities:

For high Weissenberg number (Wi), $Wi = \frac{\text{elastic forces}}{\text{viscous forces}}$, which indicates the degree of anisotropy or orientation generated by the deformation (suitable for shear or elongation movements), the problem becomes stiffer and numerical instabilities may arise.



Typical symptom: simulation crashes.

Closer look / Investigation: losing mass! (even only 1‰.)



Solution:
adaptive time stepping.



Spreading and receding drops



The **viscoelastic** drop spreads faster and recedes slower compared to the **Newtonian** one

Membranes

Shear and extensional free-boundary flows of viscoelastic membranes



Linear finite elements with plane stress formulation. Different constitutive models considered: elastic, viscous, viscoelastic (Maxwell); but only low-order geometries and FEM.

Publications

V. Barra, S. Afkhami, L. Kondic, *Mathematical and numerical modeling of thin viscoelastic films of Jeffreys type subjected to the van der Waals and gravitational forces*, EPJE, 42, 1 – 14 (2019)

V. Barra, S. A. Chester, S. Afkhami, *Numerical Simulations of Nearly Incompressible Viscoelastic Membranes*, Computers & Fluids, 175, 36 – 47 (2018)

V. Barra, S. Afkhami, L. Kondic, *Interfacial dynamics of thin viscoelastic films and drops*, J. Non-Newt. Fluid Mech., 237, 26 – 38 (2016)

B. Adeyemi, P. Jadhawar, L. Akanji, **V. Barra**, *Effects of fluid–fluid interfacial properties on the dynamics of bounded viscoelastic thin liquid films*, J. Non-Newt. Fluid Mech., 309, 104893 (2022)



Overview

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Interfacial flows
- 3 libCEED**
- 4 CliMA

ClimaCore.jl

Examples for Climate Applications

- 5 Recent and Future Projects
- 6 Conclusions


Fast algebra for high-order element-based discretizations: libCEED

Postdoc project supervised by Jed Brown at



libCEED Overview

- High-order methods have been considered too expensive for decades because they relied on sparse matrices assembly, which results in $O(p^d)$ storage and $O(p^{2d})$ compute per degree of freedom (DoF) in d dimensions, for basis polynomial order p
- On the other hand, optimized spectral element implementations can achieve $O(1)$ storage and $O(p)$ compute per DoF




[Courtesy: Jed Brown]





[<https://github.com/CEED/libCEED/>]

libCEED: the Code for Efficient Extensible Discretization

- libCEED uses a matrix-free operator description, based on a purely algebraic interface, where user only specifies the action of weak form operators
- Primary target: high-order finite/spectral element methods (FEM/SEM) exploiting tensor-product structure
- Open-source (BSD-2 license) C library with Fortran, Python, Julia and Rust interfaces
- libCEED is light-weight and performance-portable via run-time selection of specialized implementations (backends) optimized for CPUs and GPUs




Performance




Application examples


Integration of libCEED with the Portable, Extensible Toolkit for Scientific Computation (PETSc) for examples in fluids and solid mechanics



DNS of a flat plate synthetic turbulence generator.



A compressed Schwarz periodic minimal surface.
Applications: additive manufacturing, soft robotics



Under review: J. Brown, **V. Barra**, N. Beams, L. Ghaffari, M. Knepley, W. Moses, R. Shakeri, K. Stengel, J. Thompson, J. Zhang, *Performance-Portable Solid Mechanics via Matrix-Free p -Multigrid*, submitted to SICS
ArXiv: [arXiv:2204.01722v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.01722v3)

Publications

A. Abdelfattah, **V. Barra**, N. Beams et al., *GPU algorithms for Efficient Exascale Discretizations*, Parallel Computing, 108, 102841 (2021)

T. Kolev et al., *Efficient exascale discretizations: High-order finite element methods*, Int J. High. Perform. Comput. Appl., 6, 527-552 (2021)

J. Brown, A. Abdelfattah, **V. Barra** et al., *libCEED: Fast algebra for high-order element-based discretizations*, JOSS 63, 2945 (2021)

V. Barra, J. Brown, J. Thompson, Y. Dudouit, *High-performance operator evaluations with ease of use: libCEED's Python interface*, Proceedings of the 19th Python in Science Conference 85 - 90 (2020)

Overview

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Interfacial flows
- 3 libCEED

4 CliMA


ClimaCore.jl

Examples for Climate Applications

- 5 Recent and Future Projects
- 6 Conclusions

About CliMA


The Climate Modeling Alliance (CliMA) is a coalition of scientists, engineers, and applied mathematicians from **Caltech**, **MIT**, and the **NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory**, who is building the first Earth System Model (ESM) in the Julia programming language that automatically learns from diverse data sources to produce more accurate climate predictions with quantified uncertainties.



Thanks to all team members: Tapio Schneider¹ (PI), Paul Ullrich², Oswald Knoth³, Simon Byrne¹, Jake Bolewski¹, Charles Kawczynski¹, Sriharsha Kandala¹, Gabriele Bozzola¹, Zhaoyi Shen¹, Jia He¹, Kiran Pamnany¹, Ben Mackay¹, Akshay Sridhar¹, Dennis Yatunin¹, Lenka Novak¹, Toby Bischoff¹, Daniel (Zhengyu)Huang¹, Andre Souza⁴, Yair Cohen¹


1: Caltech, 2: UC Davis, 3: TROPOS, 4: MIT

Goals



[Source: courtesy of Tapio Schneider (Caltech)]

- The Earth System Model (ESM) will be grounded in physics (using sub-grid scale, cloud-resolving modeling) and designed for automated calibration of parameters using machine learning.
- High-resolution Large-Eddy Simulations (LES) are used to inform parametrizations of the global circulation model (GCM), which in turn, can be used for large-scale forcings to force the LES.



[Source: Physics Today - June 2021, pg. 44-51]

Technical and Scientific Aims

- Support parallel computing on CPUs and GPUs using a common open-source code base written in the high-level, dynamic Julia programming language (familiar syntax, similar to Python and Matlab).
- Julia has an interactive REPL, is Just-In-Time (JIT) compiled (triggered by first evaluation of function). Allows polymorphism via multiple dispatch (at compile or run time).
- Can write generic code, compiler will specialize on types of calling arguments, e.g., `f(x::AbstractArray)` where `AbstractArray` can be `Array of Float32`, `Float64` or a `CuArray`.
- Be accessible and extensible by a mixture of users.

- For the atmosphere model, support both Large-Eddy Simulation (LES) and General Circulation Model (GCM) configurations (i.e., Cartesian and spherical geometries).
- Allow specification of any governing equations and boundary conditions by composing operators.
- Support non-uniform unstructured meshes.




ClimaCore.jl



ClimaCore.jl — the new dynamical core (*dycore*).

A library (suite of tools) for constructing flexible space discretizations.

- Geometry:
 - Supports different geometries (Cartesian & spherical).
 - Supports covariant/contravariant vector representation for curvilinear, non-orthogonal systems and Cartesian vectors for Euclidean spaces.
- Space Discretizations:
 - Horizontal: Support both Continuous Galerkin (CG) and Discontinuous Galerkin (DG).
 - Vertical: staggered Finite Differences (FD).



CliMA Dycore key points

- A non-hydrostatic dynamical core with consistent moist thermodynamics and total energy as prognostic variable
- The model uses a hybrid spectral element/finite difference discretization that exactly conserves mass, total energy, and water
- Excellent CPU/GPU scaling makes the model suitable for cloud computing

The dycore serves both the Land and Atmos model.

ClimaAtmos is the atmosphere component with:

- a new prognostic EDMF
- calibrated parameters from observations and high resolution models with uncertainty estimates
- library of pluggable radiation schemes (gray and RRTMGP), turbulent surface fluxes (bulk and Monin Obukhov with customizable functions), microphysics schemes (0 to 3 moment), vertical transport terms (diffusion and EDMF)

Some personal contributions



Operators


[Edit on GitHub](#)

Operators

Operators can compute spatial derivative operations.

- for performance reasons, we need to be able to "fuse" multiple operators and function applications

- Geometry and Topology modules
- Grid generation: Different "cubed-sphere" meshes (Equiangular, Equidistant, Conformal)
- High-order differential operators and flux limiters
- Unit tests, integration tests and examples
- Docs, tutorials, CliMAWorkshops



Examples: Shallow-water equations

The shallow water equations
(in vector-invariant form) on a rotating sphere:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (h\mathbf{u}) = 0 \quad (3a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \nabla(\Phi + \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{u}\|^2) = (\mathbf{u} \times (f + \nabla \times \mathbf{u})) \quad (3b)$$

where f is the Coriolis term and $\Phi = g(h + h_s)$.

Written in terms of a curvilinear,
non-orthogonal basis:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{J} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^j} (h J u^j) = 0 \quad (4a)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} (\Phi + \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{u}\|^2) = E_{ijk} u^j (f^k + \omega^k) \quad (4b)$$

```


∇ = Operators.Gradient()
∇· = Operators.WeakDivergence()
curl = Operators.Curl()

@. begin
    dydt.h = - ∇·(y.h * y.u)
    dydt.u = -∇(g * (y.h + h_s) - norm(y.u)^2 / 2) + y.u × (f + curl(y.u))
end


```

Shallow-water equation Test Cases

ClimaCore.jl/examples/sphere/shallow_water.jl



Shallow-water equations suite, Test Case 5 [Williamson1992].
Zonal flow over an isolated mountain.



Shallow-water equations suite, barotropic instability test case [Galewsky2004]. Zonal jet with compact support at mid-latitude. A small height disturbance is then added, which causes the jet to become unstable and collapse into a highly vortical structure.

Examples: Advection (transport) problems

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \rho \mathbf{u}, \quad (5a)$$

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot Q \mathbf{u}, \quad (5b)$$

Transport of a passive tracer, with $Q = \rho q$, where q denotes tracer concentration (i.e., mixing ratio or mass of tracer per mass of dry air, in dry problems, or mass of tracer per mass of moist air, in moist problems) per unit mass, and ρ fluid density.

```

∇· = Operators.WeakDivergence()

@. dydt.ρ = - ∇·(y.ρ * u) # continuity equation
@. dydt.pq = - ∇·(y.pq * u) # advection of tracer equation


```

Quasimonotone flux limiters


- Traditional SEM advection operator is oscillatory but due to its mimetic properties it is locally conservative and has a monotone property with respect to element averages
- We use a class of optimization-based locally conservative quasimonotone (monotone with respect to the spectral element nodal values) limiters that prevent all overshoots and undershoots at the element level [**GubaOpt2014**]
- It also maintains quasimonotonicity even with the addition of a dissipation term such as viscosity or hyperviscosity
- The only additional interelement communication introduced is in determining the suitable minimum and maximum constraints

Flux limiter test case: slotted cylinders on a 2D sphere

$p = 6$, $ne = 20 \times 20 \times 6$ (effective resolution 0.75° at equator.)




No limiter.




With limiter.

Flux-Corrected Transport


For the advection operator discretized by Finite Differences, the Flux-corrected transport (FCT) approximates with a high-order scheme in regions where the solution is smooth, and low-order monotone scheme where the solution is poorly resolved or discontinuous [**Zalesak1979**].



Dissipative and very dispersive





Less dissipative and less dispersive
(but over/under-shoots)





No over/under-shoots and limited dispersion.

Strong scaling study for the atmospheric component



SYPD versus number of GPUs (top) and CPUs (bottom) for different horizontal resolutions when running the moist baroclinic wave benchmark. The GPU scaling runs were carried out on Google Cloud Platform NVIDIA H100's (solid lines) and NCAR Derecho NVIDIA A100's (dashed lines). The CPU scaling runs were carried out at Caltech's Resnick.

Weak scaling study for the atmospheric component



Time per model timestep versus number of GPUs (left) and CPUs (right) for different horizontal resolutions. The GPUs are NVIDIA A100 GPUs, and the CPUs are Intel Icelake 8352Y processors, with 16 MPI ranks per CPU node.

D. Yatunin, S. Byrne, C. Kawczynski, S. Kandala, G. Bozzola, A. Sridhar, ..., **V. Barra**, O. Knoth, P. Ullrich, C. Mbengue, T. Schneider, *The Climate Modeling Alliance Atmosphere Dynamical Core: Concepts, Numerics, and Scaling*, JAMES, 18, e2025MS005014 (2026)

Recent and Future Projects

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Interfacial flows
- 3 libCEED
- 4 CliMA


ClimaCore.jl

Examples for Climate Applications


- 5 Recent and Future Projects**
- 6 Conclusions

Open-source software ecosystems

- Recent NSF POSE award to transition the Mimetic Operators Library Enhanced (MOLE) library into an open-source ecosystem.
- Need to apply best practices in community scientific software
 - Add documentation, tutorials, enhance examples
 - Quality of software: best software design patterns and engineering principles, test-driven design, reproducibility, code coverage, unit testing, Continuous Integration (CI) / Continuous Deployment (CD), portability



High-order methods for high-speed and reactive flows



Future Directions:
High-order methods for
high-speed, reactive flows with
Yiyue, second-year PhD student



Incorporate stabilization methods

The finite element method (FEM) Galerkin formulation of incompressible Navier-Stokes equations suffers from two main sources of numerical instabilities:

- *spurious pressure*, if the inf-sup condition is not satisfied
- The advection term in the Navier–Stokes equations can be oscillatory, producing *spurious velocity*. More evident for advection-dominated (i.e., high Re).

Example: advection-diffusion problem

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{w}u - \kappa \nabla u) \equiv \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla u - \nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla u) = s$$

with a source term s . In the typical Galerkin formulation, we write this in **weak form**:

$$\int_{\Omega} v \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla u + \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \kappa \nabla u - \int_{\Omega} v s = 0$$

SUPG Stabilization

The Streamline-Upwind Petrov-Galerkin (SUPG) ([**BrooksHughes1982**]), one type of Variational Multiscale (VMS) method ([**Hughes_et_al_1998**])


$$\underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla u + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \kappa \nabla u - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{v} s}_{\text{Galerkin (weak form)}} + \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) \tau^e \underbrace{(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla u - \nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla u) - s)}_{\text{strong form residual}}$$

Advection transports information *along streamlines* and also instabilities (oscillations) occur along those directions.

- Hence, the stabilization term we add extracts the components only along the streamlines of the flow. The perpendicular direction is unaltered, so the sharp features across them are preserved
- Whereas with diffusion, $(\kappa \nabla^2 u)$ (or hyperdiffusion, $\kappa \nabla^4 u$) introduces isotropic, non-selective dissipation, symmetric in all directions.

Conclusions

- Introduced numerical analysis key concepts and typical challenges
- Introduced the dycore for CiMA's Earth System Model (ESM):
 - Introduced ClimaCore.jl, the new open-source dycore for the atmosphere and land components of the ESM, entirely written in the Julia dynamic language
 - We showed examples of applications for atmospheric flows and flux limiters to overcome oscillation challenges for the high-order SEM advection operator
 - We presented strong and weak scaling studies



[Held-Suarez 180-day simulation.]

Thank you!

References

- [1] Nick Higham, *What is Numerical Stability?*, <https://nhigham.com/2020/08/04/what-is-numerical-stability/>
- [2] Jie Li, Marco A. Fontelos, *Drop dynamics on the beads-on-string structure for viscoelastic jets: A numerical study*, *Physics of Fluids*, 15, 922–937, 2003
- [3] David L. Williamson, John B. Drake, James J. Hack, Rüdiger Jakob, Paul N. Swartrauber, *A standard test set for numerical approximations to the shallow water equations in spherical geometry*, *Journal of Computational Physics*, 102(1), 211–224, 1992.
- [4] Joseph Galewsky, Richard K. Scott, Lorenzo M. Polvani, *An initial-value problem for testing numerical models of the global shallow-water equations*, *Tellus A: Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography*, 56(5), 429–440, 2004.
- [5] Oksana Guba, Mark Taylor, Amik St-Cyr, *Optimization-based limiters for the spectral element method*, *Journal of Computational Physics*, 267, 176–195, 2014.
- [6] Jay P Boris, David L Book, *Flux-corrected transport. I. SHASTA, a fluid transport algorithm that works*, *Journal of Computational Physics*, 11(1), 38–69, 1973.
- [7] Steven T Zalesak, *Fully multidimensional flux-corrected transport algorithms for fluids*, *Journal of computational physics*, 31(3), 335–362, 1979.
- [8] Alexander N. Brooks and Thomas J.R. Hughes, *Streamline upwind/Petrov-Galerkin formulations for convection dominated flows with particular emphasis on the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations*, *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 32(1), 199–259, 1982.
- [9] Thomas J.R. Hughes and Gonzalo R. Feijóo and Luca Mazzei and Jean-Baptiste Quincy, *The variational multiscale method - a paradigm for computational mechanics*, *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 166(1), 3–24, 1998.